



The Copper Mark Summary Report

Site Information

Name of the Site	Grupo México - Southern Peru Copper Corporation (SPCC) - Ilo
Unique identifier provided by the Copper Mark	P0087
Address	Carretera a Fundición km 9, Pampa de Caliche, Pacocha, Ilo, Moquegua
Country of Operation	Peru
Products produced on site. (e.g., concentrate, anodes, cathodes, sulphuric acid, slag, etc.)	Copper Blister, Copper Anode, Refined Copper Cathodes, Silver, Gold, Commercial Selenium
Metals produced on site. (e.g., copper, gold, nickel, silver, molybdenum)	Copper, Silver, Selenium, Gold
Metals included in scope. (This must be all, or a sub-set of the metals produced on site)	Copper
Metals covered by other independent assessments for Criterion 31 (e.g., RMAP, LBMA, RJC, etc.)	NA
Types of operations included in scope	
Mining	<input type="checkbox"/>
Concentrate blending	<input type="checkbox"/>
Solvent extraction and electrowinning	<input type="checkbox"/>
Smelting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Refining	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other (<i>please explain</i>)	
Infrastructure owned or controlled by the site and included in scope	
Roads	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rails	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Ports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other (please explain)	

Independent Site Assessment Information

Name of the Lead Assessor	Alejandro Lopez
Name of the Assessment Firm (if applicable)	Arche Advisors
Date(s) of Assessment Activities (dd/mm/yyyy – dd/mm/yyyy)	9-10 October 2023
Assessment Period	1 October 2022 to 30 September 2023
Summary of the Assessment Methodology	The assessment was conducted in accordance with ISO19001:2011 Standard. Auditor sampled 10% of primary material transactions. Sampling was not applied for supplier files review and supply chain review - meaning all supplier files and supply chains were assessed. The auditor used triangulation method, including site tour, interviews and documentation review to verify the conformance against the JDD Standard.
Summary of the Assessment Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opening meeting and introductions • Review of program design <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Step 1: Management system (policies and procedure, responsibilities, grievance mechanism) ○ Step 2: Risk identification (CAHRA procedure, KYC) • Walkthrough and verification of management system working process • Review of systems of control and transparency • Closing meeting

Summary of Findings

Criteria	Rating	Comments
1. Management System	Fully meets	<p>Ilo's supply chain is simple and vertical.</p> <p>External suppliers are limited to sister facilities also under Grupo Mexico / SPCC control.</p> <p>The majority of the copper concentrate for Grupo Mexico's sites is supplied from its own concentrating operations in Toquepala and Cuajones in Peru, and its zinc refinery in Mexico. The remaining small percent is slag,</p>

		<p>scrap, and secondary material from the company's Ilo refinery.</p> <p>Ilo's supply chain due diligence practices are governed by corporate policies of Grupo Mexico and Americas Mining Corporation. The company has implemented the Corporate Suppliers, Contractors, Relevant Commercial or Business Partners Code of Conduct endorsed by Grupo Mexico holding group management. In the section of mineral supply chain section, the policy describes commitment to reject listed risks in Annex II of the OECD Guidance and commits with the implementation of the steps defined in the OECD guidance. The policy is documented and available in the corporate company's website here.</p> <p>The due diligence management system is designed and implemented at corporate level. The managers and relevant personnel in the company interviewed are aware of the risks described in the supply chain policy.</p> <p>It includes accountable persons, defined roles and responsibilities, and sufficient resource to achieve implementation relative to the size and areas of scope and specific circumstances of the operation. It is supported by a system for material control and a grievance mechanism.</p> <p>This was confirmed through interviews with management; interviews with site personnel; a facility walk-through; and a review of documents including the policy, procedures, and code of conduct for suppliers.</p>
<p>2. Red Flag Identification Process</p>	<p>Fully meets</p>	<p>The auditee has a CAHRA and red flags identification procedure at a corporate level.</p> <p>The CAHRA risk assessment document defines the CAHRA identification process including the criteria of human rights, governance, and conflict. The company uses international sources of information to assess each criteria. At local level the company conducts a sub-national risk analysis to assess the CAHRA risk within the country.</p> <p>The CAHRA identification has not identified any CAHRA in the site's own operations or its supply chain.</p> <p>No red flags were identified.</p>

3. Risk Assessment Process	Not applicable	No red flags were identified.
4. Risk Management Process	Not applicable	No red flags were identified.
5. Public Reporting	Fully meets	The company has issued a standalone Due Diligence Annual report for the Southern Peru Copper Corporation and covers the Ilo operations. The report is available here .

Conclusions

Performance Determination	
The site is found to fully meet the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard for Copper, Lead, Nickel and Zinc (Joint Due Diligence Standard).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The site is found to fully meet or partially meet the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard and has committed to continuous improvement at the site to fully meet the conformance criteria by 10 September 2024.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The site is found to miss some or all of the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard and has committed to continuous improvement at the site to fully meet all conformance criteria by 10 September 2024.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The site misses some or all of the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard and has not committed to continuous improvement.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Limitations:	The assessor did not face limitations during the onsite assessment.
Additional comments:	

Assurance Process Information

Date of Performance Determination (dd/mm/yyyy)	28 November 2023
Re-assessment Due Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	27 November 2026