

The Copper Mark Summary Report

Site Information

Name of the Site	Mount Isa Mines Limited - Copper Refineries Pty Ltd (CRL)	
Unique identifier provided by the Copper Mark	S011	
Address	Hunter Street, Stuart, Queensland	
Country of Operation	Australia	
Products produced on site.		
(e.g., concentrate, anodes, cathodes, sulphuric acid, slag, etc.)	Copper cathode	
Metals produced on site.		
(e.g., copper, gold, nickel, silver, molybdenum)	Copper	
Metals included in scope.		
(This must be all, or a sub-set of the metals produced on site)	Copper	
Metals covered by other independent assessments for Criterion 31 (e.g., RMAP, LBMA, RJC, etc.)	NA	
Types of operations included in scope		
Mining		
Concentrate blending		
Solvent extraction and electrowinning		
Smelting		
Refining	\boxtimes	
Other (please explain)		
Infrastructure owned or controlled by the site and included in scope		
Roads		
Rails		



Ports	
Other (please explain)	

Equivalency Check

Upon receiving the pre-assessment checklist	t, the Copper	r Mark did	a review of	[†] equivalent
certificates and third-party assurances.				

The following equivalent systems were applied:

Equivalent systems are determined by the Copper Mark to have standards and assurance requirements that are materially comparable in scope and intent to those of the Copper Mark, in accordance with the Copper Mark Recognition Process.

(Name, date of assurance / certification)	Review Process	Criteria Covered by Equivalency
NA	NA	NA

Independent Site Assessment Information

Name of the Lead Asse	essor	Kaido Katalsepp
Name of the Assessment Firm (if applicable)		Arche Advisors Inc.
Date(s) of Assessment Activities		Corporate visit: 23-24 March 2023
(dd/mm/yyyy – dd/mm/	уууу)	Site visit: 18 April 2023
Assessment Period		1 January – 31 December 2022
Summary of the Assessment	The assessment was Standard.	s conducted in accordance with ISO19001:2011
wa Th into the Mo	The auditor sampled a percentage of material transactions. Sampling was also applied to supplier file review and supply chain reviewed. The auditor used the triangulation method, including site tour, interviews, and documentation review to verify conformance against the Standard.	
	Mount Isa Mines Limited - Copper Refineries Pty Ltd (CRL) is a Glencore asset.	
	Materials processed by CRL are sourced by Glencore's marketing offices in Europe (corporate office) and Australia. CRL does not source any materials directly.	
	Glencore has a Group wide Responsible Sourcing Policy, Responsible Sourcing Standard and related Responsible Sourcing Procedure for Metals and Minerals, which are implemented by CRL.	

1



	Due diligence on suppliers of metals and minerals is done through the corporate office. An assessment was completed at Glencore's corporate office and at the CRL refinery.
Summary of the Assessment Activities	The assessors reviewed corporate level policies and procedures during the corporate visit on 23-24 March 2023.
	The on-site assessment was conducted 18 April 2023, as scheduled. Assessment activities included an opening meeting with the presence of management representatives responsible for implementation of the Due Diligence Management System; assessment scope, methodology and activities were explained; access to requested information was granted by the representatives.
	The opening meeting was followed by a facility tour, review of policies and procedures, review of selected transactions, KYC information, and supplier engagement activities; as well as interviews with management and responsible due diligence implementation employees.
	The assessment concluded with a closing meeting.

Summary of Findings

Criteria	Rating	Comments
1. Management System	Fully meets	Mount Isa Mines Limited - Copper Refineries Pty Ltd (CRL) has a medium-complexity supply chain. It sources both primary and secondary material within and outside of the Glencore Group, in various geographic locations.
		Glencore Group, the parent company, has a standalone Responsible Sourcing Policy (Date: June 1, 2022), which is publicly available here .
		Glencore's Group wide Responsible Sourcing Policy is applied by CRL and meets the requirements of the Joint Due Diligence Standard. The policy is communicated internally and externally and is understood by relevant workers. Glencore supports the EITI since 2012 at local and group level, and discloses payments to government here.
		Senior management is confirmed to assume responsibility of the implementation and maintenance of the management system, as well as achievement of its intended results. The system is integrated into corporate practice and



		senior management is responsible for
		continuous improvement.
		CRL provides adequate resources to support the implementation of the policy and management systems are appropriate and proportional to its size, location, and circumstances.
		As part of the system, there is a grievance mechanism appropriate to the nature, scale, and operational context of the company.
		The information generated by the due diligence management system is sufficient for the effective implementation of all applicable steps of the due diligence process.
		Engagement with suppliers, including conducting risk assessments, monitor progress, increase transparency, and building capacity, is handled by Glencore's corporate responsible sourcing team in collaboration with relevant stakeholders.
		This was confirmed through interviews with management, interviews with relevant workers, and a review of documents including Glencore's Responsible Sourcing Policy, public reporting, the Glencore Responsible Sourcing Standard, supplier files, and samples of transaction data.
2. Red Flag Identification Process	Fully meets	CRL and Glencore's corporate responsible sourcing team have a process to collect, review, and retain both supplier and material information that is appropriate to the nature, scale, and operational context of the company, that is sufficiently and consistently implemented.
		The information collected is sufficient and credible to conduct a red flag assessment.
		For the screening of CRL's suppliers, Glencore's corporate responsible sourcing team uses the Country Risk Assessment Tool 2022 Methodology Guidance, with sufficient, credible resources defined in the CAHRA identification process. They are clear criteria identified, and the process is reasonable and consistently applied.
		For the suppliers of CRL, Glencore's corporate responsible sourcing team has a process to collect, review, and retain information for red flags identification, which is appropriate to the



	engagement, the outcome of which will determine the need for an on the ground assessment to determine the presence of Annex II risks and the need for corrective action plans. This was confirmed through interviews with management and a review of documents including supplier questionnaires, the Glencore Country Risk Assessment Tool 2022 Methodology Guidance, communication records, a sample of supplier contracts, and the results of the red flag assessment.
	For the reporting year, the information collected for the red flag supply chain was sufficient to assess the risk without an on-the-ground assessment. Glencore's corporate responsible sourcing team has identified the need for further supplier
	Glencore's corporate responsible sourcing team has a process to determine the need for on-the-ground assessments, one consideration of which is whether the supplier complies with a standard that is aligned with the OECD Guidance. Another consideration is whether there are inconsistencies in the data collected or the data is insufficient, not credible, or not conclusive enough to understand the factual circumstances of the supplier's supply chain.
	reasonable and consistent with the process. One red flag was identified, which relates to materials originating from a country which is known for transit for minerals from CAHRAs. Glencore's corporate responsible sourcing team has identified the need for further supplier engagement, the outcome of which will determine the need for an on the ground assessment and applicability of CAPs as required.
	materials originating from a country which is known for transit for minerals from CAHRAs.



		the information generated by the risk assessment. Note that ongoing engagement is under way with the red flag supply chain to determine whether there is a need to conduct a site-assessment, should the circumstances change or more information needed. It is noted to follow up on this in the next assessment.
4. Risk Management Process	Fully meets	There is a process to conduct risk management, defined in the responsible sourcing policy. Since the presence of Annex II risks has not been confirmed for any suppliers, implementation of this process cannot be assessed. It is noted to follow up on this in the next assessment. No corrective action plan is implemented yet as
		no confirmed Annex II risks identified.
5. Public Reporting	Fully meets	CRL has a Public Due Diligence Report for reporting period of 01 January – 31 December 2022.
		The report covers the requirements of the standard and is accurate and consistent with the evidence and information reviewed on site. While the report does not cover changes in sourcing practices from one year to the next, it does provide information on development of the Due Diligence Management System and Continuous Improvement activities.
		Note this is the first report that covers copper.
		The report is available at 2022 Copper Refinery Public Due Diligence Report (glencore.com).

Conclusions

Performance Determination		
The site is found to fully meet the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard for Copper, Lead, Nickel, and Zinc (Joint Due Diligence Standard).		



The site is found to fully meet or partially meet the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard and has committed to continuous improvement at the site to fully meet the conformance criteria by 14 February 2023.	
The site is found to miss some or all of the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard and has committed to continuous improvement at the site to fully meet all conformance criteria by 14 February 2023.	
The site misses some or all of the conformance criteria of the Joint Due Diligence Standard and has not committed to continuous improvement.	
Limitations:	
Additional comments:	
Assurance Process Information	
Date of Performance Determination (dd/mm/yyyy)	6 July 2023
Re-assessment Due Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	5 July 2026